CS 458 - Homework 6

Deadline

Problems 1 through 4 were completed during the specified CS 458 class sessions.

Problems 5 onward are due by 11:59 pm on Friday, October 13, 2017

Purpose

To meet with your project team and properly document those meetings, to get practice giving a technical presentation as a team, and to become more familiar with top-down effort estimation models, especially COCOMO 81 and COCOMO II.

How to submit

Problems 1, 2, and 4 were submitted by including the required files in your team's GitHub team repository by the end of the specified class sessions, and Problem 3 was "submitted" by participating in the team presentations at the beginning of the Week 7 Lab.

Submit your files for Problem 5 onward for this homework using ~st10/458submit on **nrs-projects**, with a homework number of 6

Important notes

• Note that some of your submissions for this assignment may be posted to the course Canvas site.

Problem 1 - 5 points

You needed to meet with your project team in a mandatory team meeting during the Week 6 Lab on Wednesday, September 26, and include a miscellaneous team meeting form misc-meet-2017-09-26.txt in your team GitHub repository's sprint-1/team-meetings/misc-meetings subdirectory, containing the required contents as described on p. 7 of the project handout.

(That is, you will be graded on working in your team during that lab, and whether your team successfully completed this file, meeting the stated specifications, during that lab.)

Problem 2 - 15 points

You needed to participate in presenting one of your strongest user stories as part of your project team at the beginning of the Week 7 Lab on Wednesday, October 4, following the guidelines posted in the Week 6 Lab projected notes.

(That is, you will be graded based on you and your team's presentation, and whether you met the stated specifications for that presentation and your presented user story.)

Problem 3 - 5 points

You needed to meet with your project team in a mandatory team meeting during the Week 7 Lab on Wednesday, October 4, and include a miscellaneous team meeting form misc-meet-2017-10-04.txt in your team GitHub repository's sprint-1/team-meetings/misc-meetings subdirectory, containing the required contents as described on p. 7 of the project handout.

(That is, you will be graded on working in your team during that lab, and whether your team successfully completed this file, meeting the stated specifications, during that lab.)

Problem 4 - 10 points

You needed to meet with your project team in a mandatory team meeting during the Week 7 Lecture 2 on Thursday, October 5, following the guidelines posted in the Week 7 Lecture 1 projected notes, and include a miscellaneous team meeting form misc-meet-2017-10-05.txt in your team GitHub repository's sprint-1/team-meetings/misc-meetings subdirectory, containing the required contents as described on p. 7 of the project handout.

(That is, you will be graded on working in your team during that lecture time, and whether your team successfully completed this file, meeting the stated specifications, during that meeting.)

For Problems 5 onward...

Create a file named 458hw6.txt or 458hw6.pdf (your choice) that starts with your name. Then give the problem number and your answer(s) for each of the following problems.

Problem 5

[course text, p. 71] "Watson and Felix [81] analyzed the data of more than 60 projects done at IBM Federal Systems Division, ranging from 4000 lines to 467,000 lines of delivered source code, and found that

- if the SIZE estimate is in thousands of lines of delivered source code (KLOC),
- the total effort, EFFORT, in person-months (PM) can be given by the equation

EFFORT = $5.2 \times (SIZE)^{.91}$

Consider, then, a 4000 line project. That's 4 KLOC. This formula estimates such a project would take:

EFFORT = 5.2 * (4)^{.91} ~= 5.2 * 3.531 ~= 18.36 person-months

Based on this rough formula, how does the predicted effort grow as the estimated project size grows? To get a rough idea, compute the estimated effort for projects of size:

- 8 KLOC
- 16 KLOC
- 40 KLOC
- 400 KLOC

For each project size above, give at least:

- the project size in KLOC,
- the resulting formula for that size, and
- the resulting estimated number of person-months for that size, to at least 2 fractional places.

Problem 6

Now consider COCOMO as described in the Jalote course text (which is, more specifically, COCOMO 81).

• You first get the initial estimate (nominal estimate) using the formula:

 $E_{i} = 3.9 * (SIZE)^{.91}$

...where, again, SIZE is given in KLOC and the resulting E_{\pm} is given in person-months (PM).

- Then you modify this estimate based on **cost driver attributes**:
 - you qualitatively rate a collection of cost driver attributes attributes -- in COCOMO 81, a set of 15 different attributes -- as "Very Low", "Low", "Nominal", "High", and "Very High".
 - then you consult a chart of effort multipliers (such as Table 4.1 on p. 72 in Section 4.1.1 of the Jalote course text) for each cost driver attribute based on the rating you gave it,
 - and multiply your initial estimate by the obtained 15 effort multipliers to get the final effort estimate.
 - (the Jalote course text notes that the product of the 15 effort multipliers can be called the effort adjustment factor (EAF),

and then the final effort estimate, called the adjusted effort estimate E, can be described as the result of multiplying the initial effort estimate E_{\pm} by the EAF.)

So, consider, again, a 4000 line project. That's 4 KLOC. Based on COCOMO 81 as described above, the initial effort estimate $~\rm E_{i}$ would be:

 $E_i = 3.9 * (4)^{.91} \sim = 3.9 * 3.531 \sim = 13.77$ person-months

Now, the 15 different cost drivers are estimated for this particular project. When you look at Table 4.1 on p. 72 of Section 4.1.1, you'll see that a cost driver with a rating of "Nominal" has an effort multiplier of 1.0.

For this example, assume that it is decided that all of the cost drivers are rated as "Nominal" (thus with an effort multiplier of 1.0) except for:

- CPLX, product complexity, rated as "High", which the table gives an effort multiplier of 1.15
- PCAP, programmer capability, rated as "Low", which the table gives an effort multiplier of 1.17
- AEXP, application experience (a personnel attribute), rated as "Low", which the table gives an effort multiplier of 1.13

So, the effort adjustment factor EAF is:

EAF = 1.15 * 1.17 * 1.13 ~= 1.52

And so the adjusted effort estimate E would be:

E = $E_{\rm i}$ * EAF ~= 13.77 * 1.52 ~= 20.93 person-months

In this case, then, considering the cost factors increased the effort estimate by more than 7 person-months for an estimated 4 KLOC project.

6 part a

Consider a project whose size is estimated as 8 KLOC, for which all of the cost factors are rated as "Nominal" except for:

LEXP, programming language experience, rated as "Very Low",

RELY, required reliability, rated as "High"

DATA, database size, rated as "Low"

TOOL, use of software tools, rated as "Low"

Based on COCOMO 81 as described above and on Table 4.1 on p. 72 in Section 4.1.1, compute and give E_{i} , EAF, and the adjusted effort estimate E for this project.

6 part b

Now consider a project whose size is estimated as 16 KLOC, for which all of the cost drivers are rated as "Nominal" except for PCAP, programmer capability.

Let's consider how much the effort estimate can vary based on the rating for PCAP, programmer capability. Again based on COCOMO 81 as described above and on Table 4.1 on p. 72 in Section 4.1.1:

- Give the Ei, EAF, and the adjusted effort estimate E for this project if PCAP, programmer capability, is rated as "Very Low".
- Then, give the Ei, EAF, and the adjusted effort estimate E for this project if PCAP, programmer capability, is rated as "Very High".

6 part c

(The following is adapted from the Self-Assessment Exercise 3 on p. 93 of the Jalote course text.)

Suppose an organization plans to use COCOMO 81 for effort estimation, but it considers all of the cost drivers to be rated as "Nominal" except for:

- product complexity
- programmer capability
- development schedule

Consider Table 4.1 on p. 72 in Section 4.1.1, containing the effort multipliers for different cost drivers.

- In the "best" case, by how much could the initial effort estimate E_i be changed by these three cost drivers?
- In the "worst" case, by how much could the initial effort estimate E_{\perp} be changed by these three cost

CS 458 - Homework 6

drivers?

Problem 7

[NOTE -- the links below have been confirmed as working as of 2017-10-06]

USC's Center for Systems and Software Engineering has some very interesting pages involving the original version of COCOMO, now called COCOMO 81, and its major extension COCOMO II. This site includes web-based estimation tools for each of these, at:

- http://sunset.usc.edu/research/COCOMOII/cocomo81_pgm/cocomo81.html
 - (although this tool no longer appears to be operational, you CAN still see its form, and it includes a description of COCOMO 81's three software development modes: organic, semi-detached, or embedded)
- http://csse.usc.edu/tools/COCOMOII.php
 - (but this one DOES appear to still be operational, and has cool features such as a staffing profile graph that estimates how many people will be needed each month during the course of the project!)
 - (also: check out the Rational Unified Process influence here!)

and much more.

7 part a

Consider the descriptions of COCOMO 81's three software development modes -- organic, semidetached, or embedded -- within:

http://sunset.usc.edu/research/COCOMOII/cocomo81_pgm/cocomo81.html

How does organic differ from semi-detached?

How does organic differ from embedded?

7 part b

Consider the web-based tool for COCOMO II at:

• <u>http://csse.usc.edu/tools/COCOMOII.php</u>

Recall that, above, I noted that I saw Rational Unified Process influence here. Describe something on this page that is from that software development process model.

7 part c

Play around/experiment with the web-based tool for COCOMO II at:

http://csse.usc.edu/tools/COCOMOII.php

Enter enough made-up data in the top part so that, when you click the Calculate button, you get results -- including a staffing profile graph -- in the bottom part. Notice that the staffing profile graph suggests how many people will be needed each month over the life of the project.

CS 458 - Homework 6

Consider the effort, schedule, cost, and maximum number of people suggested for your made-up data. Write down these values.

Now consider the Software Cost Drivers in the top part, under the category of Personnel. Change your estimate for ONE of these, and then write down which you changed, and from what estimate to what estimate. Then click the Calculate button again, and write down the effort, schedule, cost, and maximum number of people suggested now.

(Just to think about -- are you surprised at the difference in the tool's estimate based on this single cost driver?)

Play around some more, and then:

Describe **at least two** interesting and/or surprising things that you found (related to COCOMO II and either effort estimation or software project planning) in your experiments/playing with this.

Submit your resulting file 458hw6.txt or 458hw6.pdf.