CS 112 - Week 14 Lab Exercise - 2022-12-02

Deadline

Due by the end of lab on 2022-12-02.

How to submit

Submit your .cpp and .h files for the problems below on https://canvas.humboldt.edu.

IF you prefer, you may instead compress your .cpp and .h files to be submitted into a single .zip file and submit that .zip file to Canvas.

(I'll also accept the .zip file created when one downloads a folder from the CS50 IDE, as long as it includes all of your lab's .cpp and .h files -- I suspect it will also contain your resulting executables, but that's OK.)

Purpose

To practice lightly with polymorphism and dynamic/late binding, a pure abstract class, and multiple inheritance.

Important notes

- Be sure to put BOTH of your names and today's date in each of the files you modify or create for this lab exercise.
- When you are done, or before you leave lab, the driver/whoever's account has the lab exercise files should e-mail a copy of all of the files to BOTH/ALL of you, and EACH of you should submit these files on Canvas.

Lab Exercise Setup

- FIRST: in the CS50 IDE, in your folder for today's lab exercise, create copies of the following:
 - Point.h from Week 14 Lecture 2 and Point.cpp from Week 13 Lecture 2
 - ColorPoint.h and ColorPoint.cpp from Week 13 Lecture 1
 - One of your team's ThreeDPoint.h and ThreeDPoint.cpp classes from the Week 13 Lab Exercise

Problem 1 - making a small style-based tweak to ThreeDPoint.h and ColorPoint.h

In the Week 13 Lecture 1 version of ColorPoint.h:

- add an adapted by: line in the opening comment listing your names
- add a line to the beginning of last modified: with today's date, and a note that you are adding syntax to make it clear that methods display and to_string are now going to be overridden instead of redefined
- follow the good-style suggestion from Savitch of adding virtual to the beginning of the ColorPoint

method headers display and to_string, to make it clear these are now overridden rather than redefined (because of the virtual added to the front of these methods in base class Point)

And, In your team's chosen version of ThreeDPoint.h:

- add an adapted by: line in the opening comment listing your names
- add a line to the beginning of last modified: with today's date, and a note that you are adding syntax to make it clear that methods display and to_string are now going to be overridden instead of redefined
- follow the good-style suggestion from Savitch of adding virtual to the beginning of the ThreeDPoint method headers display and to_string, to make it clear these are now overridden rather than redefined (because of the virtual added to the front of these methods in base class Point)

Problem 2 - seeing dynamic/late binding in action

In a main function in a file dynamic-demo.cpp:

- Create a vector or an array -- your choice! -- able to hold at least 6 elements, where each element is of type pointer to a Point.
- Initialize your vector or array so that:
 - at least two of its elements point to dynamically-allocated Point objects
 - at least two of its elements point to dynamically-allocated ColorPoint objects
 - at least two of its elements point to dynamically-allocated ThreeDPoint objects
- Loop through your vector or array, calling the display method for the object pointed to by each of its pointers, and also printing to the screen the result of calling the to_string method for the object pointed to by each of its pointers.
 - Because of the virtual added to this method header in the Point class, and because these are pointers to dynamically-allocated instances of Point, ColorPoint, or ThreeDPoint instances, dynamic binding *should* happen so that you see the color data field values for ColorPoint objects and the z data field values for the ThreeDPoint objects.
- (Add any other statements for things you'd like to do with your vector or array.)
- Loop through your vector or array, calling delete for each of the dynamically-allocated objects being pointed to.

Problem 3 - writing a pure abstract class

Recall:

- A pure virtual method is:
 - declared in a class definition with a method header that begins with the keyword virtual and ends with the so-called pure specifier, = 0 (before the method header's closing semicolon)
 - and, there is NO implementation of this method written for this class.
- A pure abstract class has only pure virtual methods and no data fields or concrete methods.

Thinking about our class style this semester for separately-compiled classes, then, such a class would have no .cpp file, would it? It would just have a .h file! And I think it might only need a public: part, since

it does not have data fields, and I'm not sure how private methods would even work in a method with no concrete methods.

(And, because it has less baggage, if you will, it might make for some less-complicated multiple inheritance practice, I hope.)

Create a pure abstract class named Rankable in a file Rankable.h that:

- uses the usual . h file template
- includes just one pure abstract method, named compute_rank, that expects nothing and returns the computed rank of the calling Rankable instance
- FUN FACT: <u>https://stackoverflow.com/questions/24316700/c-abstract-class-destructor</u> suggests that we also want a virtual destructor with this pure abstract class, that does nothing!
 - SO: add this to the public: part of your Rankable class definition, also:

```
// because it turns out you want a virtual destructor that
// does nothing for your pure virtual class, also!
```

virtual ~Rankable(){};

I believe your result here should be a single, quite short file named Rankable.h.

Problem 4 - trying out multiple inheritance

To practice lightly with multiple inheritance, choose either class ColorPoint or ThreeDPoint, and modify it into a derived class with TWO base class parents, Point and Rankable.

Since Rankable happens to be a pure abstract class, I think you will only need to:

- (Add an adapted by: comment-line to your chosen class'.cpp and .h files if not already there for today's lab, and in either case add to last modified: to include that you are having it now also be derived from Rankable)
- Add the appropriate #include to include Rankable.h in both your chosen class'.h file and .cpp file.
- Modify your chosen class' header in its class definition to also include Rankable as a base class/parent class, in addition to Point
- Add the method header for compute_rank to your chosen class' definition in its .h file, and add your chosen class' implementation of compute_rank to its .cpp file.
 - You can decide how rank is computed for your selected class! Make sure it is somehow computed based on your selected class' data fields -- for example, you might add or average or multiply its x and y (and z?) coordinates, let color influence its rank, etc. But as a practice problem, keeping this computation simple is fine!

Then, try out at least the following in a main function in a file multi-demo.cpp:

- Create at least two instances of your chosen class, and print to the screen the result of comparing calls to compute_rank for each of those instances to what they should return.
- And, to show that your class' instances are also of type Rankable:
 - create an array or vector of at least four pointer-to-a-Rankable instances

- dynamically allocate that array or vector's pointers to point to objects of your selected class (which *should* be seen as being also of type Rankable)
- loop through your vector or array, printing to the screen the result of calling method compute_rank for the object pointed to by each of its pointers
- (Add any other statements for things you'd like to do with your vector or array.)
- Loop through your vector or array, calling delete for each of the dynamically-allocated objects being pointed to.
- When you are done, or before you leave lab, use Gmail to
 - MAIL a copy of ALL of the resulting .h and .cpp files to BOTH of you, and
 - EACH of you should SUBMIT these files on Canvas