CS 335 - Week 2 Lecture 1 - January 20, 2011

Crash Intro to Formal Languages (including intro to BNF)

combined version (slides and longer definitions included within the projected notes, and even some Greek letters and superscripts/subscripts inserted;)

references:

Sipser, "Introduction to the Theory of Computation", 2nd Edition, Thomson Course Technology, 2006

Hopcroft and Ullman, "Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation", Addison-Wesley, 1979 (but there is an updated edition with a 3rd author, also)

...as well as the MacLennan course text, Ch. 4

- * formal languages how we describe languages; (meta-languages)
- * formally: a programming language is a set of strings (sometimes called sentences) over some finite alphabet of symbols, called terminals
 - * the programming language is not necessarily finite, though!
- * rules describe how to combine the terminals into well-formed sentences in the programming language - syntax
- * programming languages are categorized by the complexity of these syntax rules
 - * languages that can be defined by REGULAR EXPRESSIONS can be accepted by FINITE AUTOMATA
 RE - regular expressions
 FA - finite automata

RE's are often used to describe TOKENS ("atomic" parts) of programming languages; (also heavily used in Perl, sed, awk, searches, etc.)

BUT -- most programming languages are too complex to describe with RE's;

* We'll find out that many programming languages belong to the language class CONTEXT-FREE LANGUAGES; (CFL's)

...described by CONTEXT-FREE GRAMMARS (CFG's)

(BNF is a form of CFG...)

 * (in the interests of time, we are skipping Push-Down Automata -PDA's -- can be used to accept if-statements, looping statements, declarations)

* REGULAR EXPRESSIONS -

* We need some terms first:

```
*
     what is concatenation on a set of strings?
      Let \Sigma be a finite set of symbols (finite alphabet), and
      let L, L<sub>1</sub>, and L<sub>2</sub> be sets of strings from \Sigma
      The concatenation of L_1 and L_2, L_1L_2, is the set:
      \{xy \mid x \text{ is in } L_1 \text{ and } y \text{ is in } L_2\}
example: (modified from Sipser, p. 45)
      * let \Sigma = \{a, b, ..., z\}
      * let A = \{good, bad\}
      * let B = \{ dog, cat \}
    * AB = {gooddog, goodcat, baddog, badcat}
 *
    what is closure on sets of strings?
      Let L^0 = \{ \mathbf{E} \} (the language consisting of the empty string)
                     (DIFFERENT from the empty set!!)
      L^1 is defined as L concatenated with L^0
                      (really, just L, since any string from L concatenated
                       with the empty string is that string from L...!)
      L^2 is L concatenated with L^1 -- L concatenated with L, essentially!
                      (all strings made from concatenating 2 strings from
                      Τ.
      L^3 is L concatenated with L^2 -- all strings made from
                     concatenating 3 strings from L
                 . . .
      L^n is the set of all strings made from concatenating n strings
                     from L
```

* Kleene closure - L*

"The Kleene closure (or just closure) of L, denoted L*, is the set:

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L^{i}$$

* or, L* is the union of L^0 , L^1 , L^2 , ... L^{∞}

* **positive closure:** L⁺ - L^{*} except L⁰ is not part of the union;

"and the **positive closure** of L, denoted L^+ ,

is the set:"

 $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} L^{i}$ * same as L*, except L⁰ is not included in the unioning of L;

```
* an example for A = {good, bad}
```

- * example: (modified from Sipser, p. 45)
 - * let A, as before, be {good, bad}.
 - * A^* contains { ϵ , good, bad, goodgood, goodbad, badgood, badbad, goodgoodgood, goodgoodbad, goodbadgood, goodbadbad, ...}
 - * A⁺ contains { good, bad, goodgood, goodbad, badgood, badbad, goodgoodgood, goodgoodbad, goodbadgood, goodbadbad, ...}
 - * (since A does not contain ε , then A⁺ does not, either)

```
* SO... Regular Expressions - longer definitions
```

- * **define** regular expressions, then;
 - * "Let Σ be an alphabet.

* The regular expressions over Σ and the sets that they denote are defined recursively as follows:

- 1) \oslash is a regular expression and denotes the empty set.
- 2) ε is a regular expression and denotes the set {ε}.
 * [remember: this is the set consisting of the empty string --- this set has one element, the empty string, whereas the empty set has no elements.]
- 3) For each a in Σ , a is a regular expression and denotes the set $\{a\}$.

4) If r and s are **regular expressions** denoting the language R and S, respectively, then:

```
(r + s),

(rs), and

(r^*)

are regular expressions that denote the sets

R \cup S,

RS, and

R^*,

respectively."
```

EXAMPLES:

```
∑ = {0, 1}
11 - represents the language {11}
(0 + 1)*
represents the closure of the set containing any words from {0}
and any words from {1} -- the language of ALL strings
```

of 0's and 1'
(1 + 10)*
closure of any words from {1} and any words from {10} -all words formed by concatenating 1 and 10;
all strings of 0's and 1's that begin with 1
and do not have 2 consecutive 0's;
0*10* - the language {w | w has exactly a single 1}
regular expressions often express tokens accepted during

LEXICAL ANALYSIS, often the first "pass" of compiling, that turns the characters into tokens within the language;

CONTEXT-FREE GRAMMARS - describe CONTEXT-FREE LANGUAGES ... can describe features that have a recursive structure;

- * what is a CFG?
 - * finite set of VARIABLES (also called nonterminals or syntactic categories), EACH of which represents a language;
 - * the languages represented by the variables are described recursively in terms of each other, and in terms of primitive symbols called TERMINALS
 - * the rules relating variables are called PRODUCTIONS
 (sometimes called substitution rules)
 - * one variable is designated as the START variable -style rule: this should be the variable on the LHS of the topmost/first production;
- S -> 0A1
- A -> 1A0
- A -> B
- B -> 00

S - start symbol the variables here are S, A, B the TERMINALS here are 0, 1 these are 4 productions

* you are allowed, if a variable appears on the LHS of more than 1 production, to write them as 1 production with |:

A -> 1A0 | B

* derivation: sequence of substitutions to obtain a string
(MUST start from the start symbol!)
(use => to separate "steps" in a derivation)

S => 0A1 => 01A01 => 01B01 => 010001

...this is essentially a proof that 010001 is a string in this language (CFG's are language GENERATORS...)

* while linguists were studying CFG's, Backus and Naur came up with BNF to describe Algol-60 -- BNF is CFG notation with minor changes in format, and some shorthand

- * so: now let's talk about BNF
 - * CFG's variables are written in angle brackets in BNF

```
<decimal fraction>
<unsigned integer>
```

- * productions written using ::= instead of ->
 (can be read as "is defined as")
- * can use | to write to "combine" productions for the same variable;

* can use recursion to express sequences;

<unsigned integer> ::= <digit> | <unsigned integer><digit>

* see BNF for an Algol-60 (hardware representation) number

adapted from MacLennan, <u>Principles of Programming Languages</u>, 3rd Edition, Chapter 4, Figure 4.1, p. 152

```
<number> ::= +<unsigned number>
            -<unsigned number>
            | <unsigned number>
<unsigned number> ::= <decimal number>
                     | <exponent part>
                      <decimal number> <exponent part>
<decimal number> ::= <unsigned integer>
                    | <decimal fraction>
                    <unsigned integer> <decimal fraction>
<exponent part> ::= E<integer>
<unsigned integer> ::= <digit>
                      | <unsigned integer> <digit>
<decimal fraction> ::= .<unsigned integer>
<integer> ::= +<unsigned integer>
             -<unsigned integer>
             <unsigned integer>
<digit> ::= 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9
  examples of derivations of a number using this BNF
*
```

```
<number> => <unsigned number>
       => <decimal number>
        => <unsigned integer>
        => <digit>
        => 3
<number> => <unsigned number>
        => <decimal number>
        => <unsigned integer>
        => <unsigned integer><digit>
        => <digit><digit>
        => 3<digit>
        => 34
*
   derivation tree - (parse tree)
       write the derivation as a tree, instead;
    *
      the start variable is the root of this tree;
    *
       each substitution (based on a BNF production/rule) adds a level of
       child/children beneath a variable node,
       such that the "children" of that variable's node are what you are
       substituting for that variable;
    *
      when you are done, you'll see that the internal nodes of the resulting tree
       are all variables, and the leaves are all terminals;
    *
       you "read" the string you've just shown is in that language by reading the
       leaves left-to-right;
   parse tree for a derivation of 34, showing it is a "legal" number:
       <number>
         <unsigned number>
          <decimal number>
          <unsigned integer>
          \backslash
       <unsigned integer> <digit>
         <digit>
                             4
         3
     34 is an Algol <number>
```

* sometimes a single parse tree will correspond to several derivations; consider the above example: does it really matter whether you substitute the first <digit> with 3 first, or the second <digit> with 4 first?

stopping here;