[source: Webber, "Modern Programming Languages", pp. 544-546 - "The Story of Prolog"]

- We mentioned this previously: "Prolog arose from work on **automated theorem proving**"
- 1965: "Alan Robinson published a paper introducing the idea of theorem proving based on resolution" <--- "...the foundation of Prolog"!
 - led to much work "around the world on resolution-based theorem-proving";
 - BUT -- there are MANY "possible algorithms for automated inference based on [this idea of] resolution, with widely varying degrees of power and efficiency" -- "...Prolog did not arise immediately";

[source: Webber, "Modern Programming Languages", pp. 544-546]

- "Several researchers saw the connection between **automated inference** and **general computation**,
 - and observed that the behavior of theorem provers could parallel the behavior of programming language interpreters."
- But, they tried to get these "theorem provers to prove **impressively difficult** things"
 - rather than on "the simple computational things that Fortran and Lisp could already do" [we're in the mid- to late-1960's now, I think]

[source: Webber, "Modern Programming Languages", pp. 544-546]

- 1971 Alain Colmerauer's group Universite d'Aix Marseilles
 - "working on an artificial intelligence [AI] project:"
 - "a system to answer questions about natural language texts"
- This system needed **automated deduction**:
 - e.g., "if the text said that Jerry is a mouse,
 - and that mice eat cheese,
 - the system needed to answer the question, does Jerry eat cheese?"
- They were using a **resolution-based** technique for the automated deduction part;

[source: Webber, "Modern Programming Languages", pp. 544-546]

- 1971 Alain Colmerauer's group Universite d'Aix Marseilles - continued
- They invited **Robert Kowalski** -- **University of Edinburgh** -- to visit, and he explained his resolution theorem prover
 - Kowalski's technique: SL-Resolution
 - "Philippe Roussel implemented a simplified version of SL-Resolution for the first Prolog system in 1972."
- "The name Prolog was suggested by Roussel's wife, as a derivation of programmation en logique (and we've already seen that at least some Englishlanguage references give this in English, "programming in logic")

[source: Webber, "Modern Programming Languages", pp. 544-546]

- "Colmerauer and Roussel found that the system could be used for their **entire application**, not just for the deductive part;"
 - "It was a general-purpose programming language."
 - "the 1973 version looked much like modern Prolog." [!]
- Early versions were **interpreted**, "and were extremely slow and memory intensive";
 - "In 1977, David Warren at Edinburgh developed the first Prolog compiler"
 - "In 1983, he developed an important compilation technique for Prolog: the Warren Abstract Machine"

Warren Abstract Machine

[sources: Webber, "Modern Programming Languages", pp. 544-546, & http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Warren_abstract_machine]

- [Webber] The Warren Abstract Machine is "an intermediate-code target for Prolog compilation which is still used in some form by many Prolog compilers (including SWI-Prolog)."
- [Wikipedia] "The purpose of compiling Prolog code to the more low-level WAM code is to make subsequent interpretation ... **more efficient**"
 - "reasonably easy to translate to WAM instructions which can be more efficiently interpreted"
 - (what other language does this remind you of?)
 - can read more about the WAM in an MIT Press tutorial available on-line, "Warren's Abstract Machine", by Hassan Ait-Kaci: www.cvc.uab.es/shared/teach/a25002/WAMBOOK.PDF

[sources: Webber, "Modern Programming Languages", pp. 544-546]

- "The availability of compiled implementations,
 - and the commercial success of various expert systems implemented in Prolog,
 - helped Prolog find a wider audience in the 1980's."
- "It remains an important language for artificial intelligence development"

[sources: Webber, "Modern Programming Languages", pp. 544-546]

- "Like Lisp and Smalltalk, Prolog is a language that follows naturally from a **small set of basic elements** --- in Prolog's case, **resolution-based-inference**."
- quote from Colmerauer and Roussel:
 - "Prolog is so simple that one has the sense that sooner or later someone had to discover it."
- "Certainly, the connection between theorem-proving and programming occurred to several researcher before Prolog was born;"

[source: Webber, pp. 544-546]

- Prolog's success "is due to an important insight about how to make the connection practical."
- In resolution-based theorem proving, it is "easy it is to come up with a **correct but useless variant**:
 - a theorem prover that wanders around proving exponentially many true things, but none to the point."
- "The **difficult** thing is to find [such] an algorithm ... **general** enough to be the basis of a programming language ... yet can be implemented efficiently enough to be [practical]."
- "[Amongst] logic languages ... Prolog is still the most successful."

arithmetic in Prolog: the is operator - 1 [source: Clocksin and Mellish, "Programming in Prolog", pp. 33-35]

- "The is operator is an infix operator, which takes an unknown ... on the left, and an arithmetic expression on the right."
- consider:

```
density(Place, Density) :-
   pop(Place, Pop),
   area(Place, Area),
   Density is Pop/Area.
```

• beware -- is float division in swipl, but not in ALL Prologs!

the is operator, continued - 2

[source: Clocksin and Mellish, "Programming in Prolog", pp. 33-35]

```
density(Place, Density) :-
   pop(Place, Pop),
   area(Place, Area),
   Density is Pop/Area.
```

- In the above example, Density is unknown when the is is encountered,
 - and it is up to the is to evaluate the expression,
 - and let Density stand for the value."
- "This means that the values of **all** of the variables on the **right** of an is must be known."

why do we need is? - 4

[source: Clocksin and Mellish, pp. 33-35]

- "We need the is operator ... to tell Prolog to evaluate the arithmetic expression."
 - "...[to Prolog,] something like Pop/Area ... is just an ordinary Prolog structure like author (emily, bronte).
- "With arithmetic expressions, there is a **special** operation that can be applied ...: that of **actually carrying out** the ... arithmetic"
 - "This is called evaluating the arithmetic expression."
- "Clearly we cannot evaluate structures such as the author one..."
- "So, we have to **tell** Prolog when we want it to attempt to evaluate a structure."
- "This is what the predicate is is for."

Prolog arithmetic and comparison operators

[source: Clocksin & Mellish, pp. 33-35]

- "Depending on what computer you use, various arithmetic operators can be used on the RHS of the is operator."
- "All Prolog systems, however, will have:"
 - X + Y
 X + Y
 X Y
 X * Y
 X * Y
 X * Y
 X + the product of X and Y */
 X / Y
 X + the quotient of X and Y */
 X mod Y
 X + the remainder of X divided by Y */
- also has comparison operators -- only one of which is a surprise!

< > **=**< >=

(yes, that really IS =< instead of <= ...!)

List basics

[source: no-longer-available tutorial: http://www.cse.msu.edu/~cse440/Programming1/programming1 tut.html]

- a very common data structure in Prolog: the **list**
- basic list syntax:
 - start and end with square brackets
 - elements within are separated by commas
 - example of a list: [a, freddie, 13.7]
- the empty list: []

Splitting a list: head and tail!

[source: no-longer-available tutorial: http://www.cse.msu.edu/~cse440/Programming 1/programming1tut.html]

• "Prolog ...has a special facility to split the **first** part of the list (called the **head**) away from the **rest** of the list (known as the **tail**). "

– Yes, it's car and cdr, again...! 8-)

 "We can place a special symbol | (pronounced 'bar') in the list to distinguish between the first item in the list and the remaining list."

```
[first, second, third] = [A|B].
A = first
B = [second, third].
```

```
[First|Rest] = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].
First = 1,
Rest = [2, 3, 4, 5].
```