

symbol table

(source: Louden, Ch. 5, pp. 128-129)

- "bindings must be **MAINTAINED** by a translator so that appropriate meanings are given to names during translation and execution."
- "A translator does this by creating a data structure to maintain this [binding] information."
- This data structure is usually called the **symbol table**
- So, a symbol table can be thought of as mapping **names** to **attributes**...

object lifetimes

(source: Scott, Ch. 3, p. 115)

- "The period of time between the creation and the destruction of a name-to-object *binding* is called the *binding's lifetime*."
- "the time between the creation & destruction of an *object* is the *object's lifetime*."
- "These need not necessarily coincide" --
 - "an object may retain its value and the potential to be accessed even when a given name can no longer be used to access it"
 - e.g., a pass-by-reference parameter -- "the binding between the parameter name and the variable that was passed has a lifetime shorter than the variable itself"
 - usually a bug if "a name-to-object binding has a lifetime longer than that of the object" (dangling references, anyone?)

storage allocation mechanisms

(source: Scott, Ch. 3, p. 115)

- "Object lifetimes generally correspond to one of three principal **storage allocation** mechanisms, used to manage the object's space:
 1. **Static** objects are given an absolute address that is retained throughout the program's execution.
 2. **Stack** objects are allocated and deallocated in last-in, first-out order, usually in conjunction with subroutine calls and returns.
 3. **Heap** objects may be allocated and deallocated at arbitrary times. They require a more general (and expensive) storage management algorithm."

storage allocation: heap

- (Louden, p. 164) "the environment must have an area in memory from which memory can be allocated ... and ... returned in response..." to run-time allocation requests;
- "Such an area is traditionally called a **heap** (although it has **nothing** to do with the heap data structure)"
- Allocation using this heap is usually called **dynamic allocation**
 - (...even though allocation of local variables is also dynamic, in the sense that it actually occurs during execution;
 - ...but those local variables are allocated using a stack, and memory allocated in this way is usually called **stack-based** or **automatic** allocation)

where are these in memory?

- (Louden, p. 164) NOTE that the "automatic allocation" stack and the "dynamic allocation" heap are usually DIFFERENT sections of memory;
- ...and that first storage mechanism we mentioned, for static objects , are usually in a separate, static area;
- These three areas could be anywhere!
 - BUT one common strategy is to place them "adjacent to one another,
 - with the global area first,
 - the stack next,
 - and the heap last,
 - with the heap and stack growing in opposite directions"

how can heap storage be reclaimed? p. 1

[source: Wikipedia,
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Garbage_collection_\(computer_science\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Garbage_collection_(computer_science))]

- "Many computer languages require garbage collection, either as part of the language specification (e.g. Java, C#, and most scripting languages) or effectively for practical implementation (e.g. formal languages like lambda calculus); these are said to be **garbage-collected languages**."
- "Other languages were designed for use with manual memory management (e.g., C, C++)
 - but this Wikipedia article mentioned that there are garbage collected implementations of even C and C++...!

how can heap storage be reclaimed? p. 2

- "Some languages, like Modula-3, allow both garbage collection and manual memory management to co-exist in the same application by using separate heaps for collected and manually managed objects"
- And there are even languages, "like D, which is garbage-collected but allows the user to manually delete objects and also entirely disable garbage collection when speed is required."
 - Perhaps similarly, Louden notes that Ada will let you call the garbage collector, or turn it off for certain variables?
 - (because of its goal to be usable in real-time situations where control of the speed of execution of a program is critical --- Louden, p. 179)

reference counts (p. 1)

[source: MacLennan, Ch. 11, pp. 388-394]

- when something points to a cell -- increment its reference count;
- when a reference to a cell is destroyed -- decrement its reference count;
- ..."When a cell's reference count becomes ZERO, it means that the cell is inaccessible and can be returned to the free list."

reference counts (p. 2)

- MacLennan, p. 391: (pseudocode!!!)

```
decrement (C) :  
    reference_count (C) :=  
        reference_count (C) - 1  
    if reference_count (C) = 0 then  
        decrement (C^.left);  
        decrement (C^.right);  
        return C to free-list;  
    end if.
```

mark-sweep (p. 1)

[source: MacLennan, Ch. 11, pp. 388-394]

- in its simplest/most-naive form:
 - in the mark phase, the gc identifies all cells that ARE accessible, that are NOT garbage;
 - in the sweep phase, all of the cells that are left (and inaccessible) are made available, "often by placing them on the free list"

mark-sweep (p. 2)

mark phase:

for each root R , mark (R) .

mark (R) :

if R is not marked, then:

set mark bit of R ;

mark $(R^{\wedge}.left)$;

mark $(R^{\wedge}.right)$;

endif.